

Cyclical Review of Programs and Units



Development

1. Review commissioned.

2. Terms of Reference.

3. Nomination of reviewers by the Dean. Approval by the Vice-Provost, Academic Programs.

4. Review announced.

5. Self-Study.



Review

1. Visit by external reviewers.

2. Report written by the external reviewers.

3. Request from the Vice-Provost for administrative response and implementation plan from the Dean.

4. Administrative response and implementation plan received.



Governance

1. Report goes to the Committee on Academic Policy & Programs.

2. Report goes to the Academic Board.

3. Report goes to the Executive Committee of Governing Council.

4. Possible one-year follow-up report to AP&P.

*Note:
Reviews go to governance
Cycle 2 and Cycle 5.*



Oversight

1. Final Assessment Report and Implementation Plan (FAR/IP) prepared by the VPAP office.

2. FAR/IP submitted to Quality Council by the VPAP office.

3. FAR/IP posted on the VPAP website (www.vpacademic.utoronto.ca).